



EURAXESS

Home > My EURAXESS > HRS4R

Process Description

Case number

2022RO843264

Name Organisation under review

Institute of Cellular Biology and Pathology "Nicolae Simionescu

Organisation's contact details

B.P.Hasdeu street, no.8, Bucharest, Romania

Date endorsement charter and code

06/10/2022

Submission date to the European Commission

06/11/2023

Process

The HRS4R process must engage all management departments directly or indirectly responsible for researchers' HR-issues. These will typically include the Vice-Rector for Research, the Head of Personnel, and other administrative staff members. In addition, the HRS4R strategy must consult its stakeholders and involve a representative community of researchers ranging from R1 to R4, as well as appoint a Committee overseeing the process and a Working Group responsible for implementing the process.

Log out

Name	Position	Steering Committee	Working Group	Management line/ Department
Acad. Maya Simionescu	R4			Director
Acad. Ileana Mânduţeanu	R4			Deputy Director
Felicia Antohe	R4			Secretary of Scientific Council
Anca SIMA	R4			Chief Department
Manuela Calin	R4			Chief Department
Anca Gafencu	R4			Chief Department
Alexandrina Burlacu	R4			Chief Department
Adrian Manea	R4			Chief Department
Adriana Georgescu	R4			Chief Department
_oredan St. Niculescu	R3			Chief Department
Titorencu Irina	R3			Chief Department, Internal Managerial Control Committee President
Ec. Maria Tolbaru	Administrative staff			Financial Director
Liliana Zamfir	Administrative staff			HR Responsible
Cristina Pleșoianu	Administrative staff			Projects manager

Your organisation must consult its stakeholders and involve a representative community of researchers ranging from R1 to R4, as well as appoint a Committee overseeing the process and a Working Group responsible for the implementation of the HRS4R process.

ovide information on how the researchers groups were involved in the GAP-analysis:				
Stakeholder group	Consultation format	Contributions		
Steering Committee and Working Group	Face to face meeting	Starting from the need identified by the IBPC-NS management to align RU policies with those of the principles of the Charter and the European Code of Researcher and based on the approval of the initial application submitted to obtain the HR4R certification within the Scientific Council, the decision was taken to start the elaboration process of the necessary documentation to be submitted in the initial phase and in this, sense of establishing the members of the WG to carry out this objective in SC coordination, as well as of a Taskforce type structure for representative community of researchers (R1-R4) for relevant data gathering, debates on different stages until the validation of the process results.		
Working Group	Workshop	Analyzes regarding the level of fulfillment of the 40 principles of C&C, of the main areas of improvement for their fulfillment. A first document was drafted that contained all these aspects.		
Working Group and Taskforce for representative community of researchers and validation of the results	Workshop	Developing a questionnaire for an online opinion poll, namely face-to-face interviews and focus groups.		
Working Group and Taskforce for representative community of researchers	Online survey and face-to-face interviews	In order to investigate the opinions of researchers from IBPC-NS regarding compliance with the principles of the Charter and the European Code of Researchers and the measures proposed to improve the gaps, a mixed strategy of consultation was applied, consisting of conducting an online opinion survey, respectively face-to-face interviews and focus groups. The questionnaire was self-administered online. All researchers received an email inviting them to anonymously express their views on the implementation of the C&C principles. The final sample included 54 respondents (a response rate of 57%). The data were collected between August and September 2023. The questionnaire included four sections, corresponding to C&C principles. The response to each item was recorded on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree. The questionnaire also included socio-demographic items, namely: gender, age, seniority, academic title and field of research. This data was used to compare the views and needs of different segments of respondents. The results of the questionnaire were integrated into the gap analysis and are available in a separate Report.		
Working Group and Taskforce for representative community of researchers	Workshop	The gap analysis carried out by the members of the working group under the coordination of the SC was debated in some interactive workshops with the Taskforce, with the completion of successive stages for the realization of the analysis of the gaps, the strategy and the action plan. Taskforce members were invited to express their ideas and formulate recommendations for each category of actions mentioned in the action plan. As a result of the conclusions obtained through the online survey, interviews, focus groups and workshops, the analysis of the gaps, the initially proposed action plan were revised and all the documents of the package for obtaining the diploma were also systematized.		

Please describe how the Committee overseeing the process was appointed and how it worked (meetings, decisions, etc.):

The application of the HR4R strategy at IBPC-NS was identified as a priority in aligning the Institute's RU policies with European practices, given that the development of European research projects is the main means by which the research objectives proposed at the institutional level can be achieved. The writing of 5 projects coordinated by foreign directors, projects financed from resettlement and resilience funds and the winning of all of them represented an impetus in starting the human resources practices of the Institute with those of the principles of the Charter and the European Code of Researcher.

The effective implementation of the HR4R activities will be carried out under the coordination of the IBPC-NS director, Mrs. Maya Simionescu.

When selecting the members of the Steering Committee that will coordinate the HR4R implementation process, it was considered that both the research departments should be represented by heads of departments who are also members of the Scientific Council of IBPC-NS, as well as the coordinator of the financial-accounting department, which includes the administrative staff of the Institute.

Throughout the process of developing the documents that correspond to the HR4R process, the members of the Steering Committee supervised the activity of the WG and TF, contributed to the formulation of the conclusions and approved the final submitted documentation.

Please describe how the Working Group doing the Gap Analysis was appointed:

When selecting the members of the Steering Committee, Working Group and Task Force, the representation of all categories of research staff in the Institute, of researchers who hold management positions, but also of researchers from categories R1-R4 who do not hold management positions, of researchers from all age categories and the representation of men and women, of the administrative staff.

The working group includes senior researchers with management attributions, members of the Scientific Council representing the governing body of the Institute and representatives of the administrative staff. The proposed structure of members covers an entire area of expertise relevant to the Institute's human resources practices with those of the principles of the Charter and the Code and used by the IBPC-NS to go through the procedure of implementing the Human Resources Strategy for researchers.

The working group is coordinated by the deputy director of IBPC-NS Acad. Ileana Mânduţeanu and includes the heads of research departments Anca Gafencu, Alexandrina Burlacu, Adrian Manea, Adriana Georgescu, Loredan St. Niculescu, Titorencu Irina, head of RU Liliana Zamfir, Cristina Plesoianu, manager of European projects.

Taking into account the fact that such an approach (the HRS4R process) requires obtaining consensus at the level of the institution, but also the possibility of specific interventions at the level of internal procedures, for example, to ensure agreement with the principles of the Code, when establishing the two working groups were considered the following main criteria:

- engaging the leaders of the institution in this process. The management of the institution must officially approve the documentation, participate in the analysis of the gaps, in the development of the Action Plan
- knowledge of the Institutional Strategic Plan and all initiatives and regulations (procedures) in the field of human resources policies, so that the mechanism of the HRS4R Strategy is integrated into them. The HRS4R strategy must refer to other existing strategies and contribute to the consolidation of research within the IBPC-NS • the involvement of researchers (academic staff) from all career stages (R1-R4, according to the descriptors of research profiles used at the European level) in this process from the very
- beginning. Anticipating the impact of the intended actions, from the researchers' perspective, is very important when developing the Action Plan. It is essential to involve the most knowledgeable people, with a good knowledge of the issue and who want to actively participate in such a process, who take responsibility for one/some actions from the Action Plan and who can make viable proposals for improving the aspects reported. • For a representation of the Institute's research staff, a Task Force was created consisting of 3 representatives of R1 researchers, 4 representatives of R2 researchers, 3 representatives of
- R3 researchers, 1 representative of R1 researchers (balanced by no. of researchers from the Working Group) composed of: Stancu Camelia R4, Constantin Alina R3, Vacaru Ana R3, Alexandru Nicoleta R3, Manea Simona R2, Voicu Geanina R2, Turtoi Mihaela R1, Barbalata Teodora R1, Macarie Razvan R1. Members of the Task force have had a contribution to the design of the questionnaire to which 54 researchers out of a total of 95 answered, to the interpretation of the data and to their taking over in Gap analysis and later to the validation of the conclusions that resulted in the analysis. • having experience in analyzing an action of the Plan based on the demonstration of the impact of that action, especially useful in the stage/stages of its review

EURAXESS

• knowledge of national legislation and institutional regulations in the field of research and education, with an emphasis on human resources.

Information &

Assistance Worldwide Search for Jobs For Researchers Login Contact us Living in Europe Africa For Organisations Register Post Jobs About EURAXESS Working in Europe ASEAN Search for Funding **Accessibility Statement Partnering** Follow us Australia & New Zealand Leaving Europe Search for Hosting Brochures Search for Researchers Facebook China Find Personalised Charter & Code for FAQs in Linkedin Assistance Search for Organisations Researchers India Legal Information Pensions Human Resources Japan Policy Library Strategy for Researchers Visa and Entry Korea (HRS4R) Conditions Privacy statement Latin America & Useful links Caribbean States North America

European Commission website

Jobs & Funding

Career Development

Contact the European Commission Follow the European Commission on social media

Resources for partners

Language policy Cookies

My EURAXESS

Useful Information

Privacy policy

Legal notice